Original Article

Morphological differentiation of the otoliths of graylings from the Barguzin River and Lake Baikal



Anoshko P.N.¹, Dzyuba E.V.¹, Smolin I.N.^{1*}, Telpukhovskii A.N.², Matveev A.N.³

¹ Limnological Institute, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Ulan-Batorskaya Str., 3, Irkutsk, 664033, Russia
² Angaro-Baikal territorial division of The Federal Agency for Fishery, Smolina Str., 18, Ulan-Ude, 670000, Russia
³ Faculty of Biology and Soil Studies of Irkutsk State University, Sukhe-Batora Str., 5, Irkutsk, 664011, Russia

ABSTRACT. A comparative analysis of distinctive morphological features of sagittae in the *Thymallus* species from Lake Baikal and the Barguzin River has been done. The otolith shape was described using values of radii and the Fourier descriptors. It is demonstrated that the otoliths of *Thymallus* species have a similar structure. The otolith outline analysis allowed to differentiate the samplings according to the morphotypes that characterize them. No significant differences in length, width, perimeter and area of the otoliths in the *Thymallus* species have been identified. However some differences in the shape of otoliths were described in *T. baicalolenensis*; they had a less developed pararostrum and more salient ventral edge than the otoliths of *T. baicalensis* from the lakes Balan-Tamur and Baikal. The otolith shape analysis can be widely applied for basic research of microevolutionary processes, delimitation of natural populations and preservation of genetic diversity as well as for defining the structure of the commercial stock.

Keywords: Thymallus, otolith, shape analysis, catchment area of Lake Baikal

1. Introduction

The otoliths of the teleosts are of great utility for basic studies of fish biology and ecology as well as for fish monitoring. In particular, the sagittae are used to investigate the fish age and growth, population structure and trophic ecology (Ballagh et al., 2006; Rooker et al., 2008). The species specificity of size and morphology of the otoliths finds a use in the fish species identification (Zischke et al., 2016), including the analysis of gastrointestinal content of predatory fish, piscivorous birds and mammals (Furlani et al., 2007). The sizes and morphology of the otoliths underlie identification guides and keys for full-grown fish (Skalkin, 1963; Skazkina, 1965; Yukhov, 1971; Svetocheva et al., 2002) and for young fish (Khrustaleva and Pavlov, 2000). Peculiarities of the variability of otolith size and shape allow to use them for differentiation between closely related species and identification of intraspecific taxa (Campana and Casselman, 1993; Johnson, 1996; Bolles and Begg, 2000; Begg et al., 2001; DeVriesa et al., 2002; Bergenius et al., 2006; Tyagun et al., 2013; Pavlov, 2016).

According to previous studies, the ichthyofauna of the upper Barguzin (Baikal's tributary) catchment area is represented by two species of the genus *Thymallus: Thymallus baicalolenensis* Matveev, Samusenok, Pronin & Tel'pukhovsky, 2005 (Matveev et al., 2005; Prosekin, 2007) and *Thymallus baicalensis* Dybowski, 1874 that significantly differs in a number of traits from the *T. baicalensis*, inhabiting Lake Baikal and being its geographical form (Prosekin, 2007). The *T. baicalolenensis* mostly prefers mountainous rivers, while the *T. baicalensis* inhabits mountainous lakes of the Amut relict glacial basin located more than 250 km away the Barguzin estuary.

The objective of the study was to define possibilities of otolith differentiation and to identify the most informative traits of their morphology in the *Thymallus* species from various habitats.

2. Material and methods of research

The sagittae from the collection of the Laboratory of Ichthyology of Limnological Institute, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences: *T. baicalolenensis* (25), *T. baicalensis* from the lakes Balan-Tamur (43) and Baikal sampled during the cruise of 2006 (Fig. 1) were used as study material. The fish was first measured for its standard length (*SL*), then the otoliths were extracted for age determination and further analysis. Each otolith was photographed from the exterior side with regard to its anatomic position. The further measurements were done by means of digital imaging. The otolith outline was projected from the digital image onto the image

 $\mathbf{0}$

382

plane using Image-Pro Plus software. The outlines of deviant and defective otoliths were excluded from the analysis. Then the area, perimeter, length and width of the projected outline were calculated. The digitized outline was normalized against its position (straight for the right otolith, in inversed manner for the left one), geometrical center superposed on the origin point (maximum in diameter in line with the coordinate axis) and area (the outline was recalculated against the projected area unit).

The polar coordinates were applied for the outline shape analysis. 60 radii (R_i) through equal (6°) angles were obtained from origin point for each otolith. The Excel and Statistika 6.0 software was used to analyze the data. The values of radii (R_i) and the Fourier descriptors were taken as test objects (Castonguay et al., 1991).

3. Results

The structural plan of otoliths in all form of graylings is similar (Fig. 2). The otoliths of Thymallus species are flat, without curve, the rostrum is long, rounded or tapered. The antirostrum is round. The postrostrum and pararostrum are usually divided. The channel (sulcus acusticus) is deep. The exterior surface is irregular. The relief corresponds to its optical structure. According to our data, T. baicalolenensis (SL 24-32 mm) was 4-6 years old, T. baicalensis from Lake Balan-Tamur (SL 32-40 mm) was 5-12 years old and the fishes from Lake Baikal (SL 15-36 mm) were 3-8 years old. When the otoliths become bigger they show a general trend to change their shape: the outline length to width ratio increases and the excisura minor and excisura major also grow in size (Fig. 2). Although these trends in larger specimens from Lake Balan-Tamur were not pronounced well.

No significant differences in the length, width, perimeter and the outline area of the otoliths, but distinctive features of their shape were found in the fishes from the study sites. Incremental linear discriminant analysis was used to identify the most informative combination of measurements (R_i) and the Fourier descriptors (A_i) . Insertion of variables (R_i) and (A_{i}) stopped at the steps 23 and 18 respectively given F for insertion equal to 1 (Fig. 3). However in the first case two inserted variables R_{51} and R_{27} allow a 90% classification of the otolith samples among the given groups, the results of the classification plateau at the step 4 after insertion of R_{42} having reached 93% of the level of correct classifications. In the second case, the results plateau at the step 10 after insertion of A_{12} reaching 90% of the level of correct classifications. The further insertion of variables may be considered as less informative in both cases because an ulterior growth of classification percentage is due to the otolith that have a low probability to belong to the a priori given groups.

According to the calculations, square of the Mahalanobis distance (R_i at the step 4 and A_j at the step 10) show a higher level of differences between the otoliths samplings of *T. baicalensis* from Lake Balan-Tamur and *T. baicalolenensis* (Table). The distances from



Fig. 1. Sites of the *Thymallus* sampling: 1 – Barguzin River and Lake Balan-Tamur; 2 – Lake Baikal, Svyatoy Nos Peninsula



Fig. 2. Diagrams of average values of otolith radii of *T. baicalensis* from Lake Balan-Tamur (A), *T. baicalolenensis* (B), and *T. baicalensis* from Lake Baikal (C) (left panel) and correlation coefficient values against the otolith area (right panel)

the sampling of *T. baicalensis* from Lake Baikal to two other samplings are similar. Thus, the use of the values of radii R_i and the values of the Fourier descriptors A_j in the discriminant analysis yielded the similar results concerning the accuracy of otolith classification and intersample distances.

In coordinates of canonical variables obtained both from R_i and A_j values, the otolith samplings form relatively separate clusters (Fig. 4). As the radii and the descriptors yield the similar results only those based on R_i are given here. Both variables describe a significant proportion of differences: 59 and 41% for the first and the second one, respectively. The value of the first variable depends mostly on R_{51} , while the second one on R_{27} .

The otoliths of T. baicalolenensis thus differ in a less developed pararostrum and more convex ventral edge, T. baicalensis from Lake Balan-Tamur has otoliths with the least developed pararostrum, while the otoliths of T. baicalensis from Lake Baikal have a concave ventral edge. The clusters of other samplings included the otoliths whose morphotype sharply deviated from their group. The shape of these otoliths may be considered deviant for the respective samplings. The sharp deviation from the typical shape, including crystal structure disturbances is of common occurrence in T. baicalensis from Lake Baikal (Anoshko et al., 2007). The deviant otoliths were also found in graylings from the Barguzin River and Lake Balan-Tamur. They were thinner, more transparent and lacked a coherent optical structure. It is known that calcium carbonate in such otoliths deposits mostly in the form of vaterite (Gauldie, 1993). However 90% of otoliths have a morphotype characteristic of Thymallus species and intraspecific taxa, thus giving a possibility to use them for species identification, e.g. in digestive tracts of predatory fish and piscivorous birds and mammals from the Barguzin catchment area.

4. Discussion and conclusions

The adaptive value of otolith morphological characteristics is unclear (Popper et al., 2005). According to theoretical models there might be differences in the perception of sounds of different frequencies depending on the otolith size (Lychakov and Rebane, 1992; 2000). The intraspecific (and intrapopulation) discrepancies in the otolith morphology may be induced by various reasons or form during the growth as a result of implementation of genetic information under the influence of the environment. A number of papers reports a significant correspondence of the otolith shape to genetic variations on the population level (Jonsdottir et al., 2006; Abuanza et al., 2008; Libungan et al., 2016; Afanasyev et al., 2017). Therefore one may suppose the changes of the otolith shape within specified boundaries could be sporadic. The microevolutionary processes take place on a short-term horizon under the influence both of global and local climatic changes. The otolith morphology as the most morphometric traits is likely a result of expression of many genes.

Table.	Square	of the	Mahalanobis	distance	between
the sampli	ngs: T. be	aicalens	is from Lake B	alan-Tamı	ur (1), T.
baicalolener	usis (2) a	nd T. b	aicalensis from	Lake Baik	al (3). R _i
and A, are tl	ne upper	and low	ver parts of the r	natrix, res	pectively

,				
		1	2	3
	1		18	11
	2	19		12
	3	12	14	



Fig. 3. Results of otolith classification: (1) *T. baicalensis* from Lake Bala-Tamur, (2) *T. baicalolenensis*, (3) *T. baicalensis* from Lake Baikal, (4) all samplings along the stepwise insertion of radii R_i (above) and the Fourier descriptors A_j (below) during the linear discriminant analysis



Fig. 4. (A) Differentiation of graylings in coordinates of canonical variables: 1 - T. *baicalensis* from Lake Balan-Tamur, 2 - T. *baicalolenensis*, and 3 - T. *baicalensis* from Lake Baikal. (B) Vectors of factor loadings

Opposed to the morphometric characteristics of fishes, the features of otolith structure depend less on the environment, e.g. short-term changes of the growing period, seasonal action of physical and chemical factors or reproduction cycles (Cadrin and Friedland, 2005). The phenotypical variations of the otoliths do not allow to make conclusions about intraspecific groups of the fishes, however they can evidence that those have been divided for a long time (Campana et al., 1995).

Previously L. Ding and coauthors (Ding et al., 2019) found that the variability of the otoliths of Schizothorax nukiangensis Tsao, 1964 could be related to the river dynamics driven by its fall. They showed the otoliths of S. nukiangensis sampled in different habitats had a significantly different morphology, in particular they reported an increase of their length. According to Prosekin and Prosekina (Prosekin and Prosekina, 2009) T. baicalolenensis and T. baicalensis in the Barguzin catchment area inhabit different habitats. T. baicalensis gain weight mostly in lakes or in the parts of the river where the stream velocity is less than 1.5 m/s without rapids and shoots. In contrast, T. baicalolenensis mainly prefer the river reaches where the stream velocity exceeds 1.5 m/s. In the rare cases when these fishes coinhabit they show a low level of trophic competition as they have different feeding strategies (Prosekin and Prosekina, 2009). However, no significant differences in length and width, perimeter and area of the otoliths between the Thymallus species were found during our study. Only the shape of the otoliths had distinctive features: the otoliths of T. baicalolenensis had a less developed pararostrum and more convex ventral edge, than those of T. baicalensis inhabiting the lakes Balan-Tamur and Baikal.

The studies showed that the otoliths of the *Thymallus* species have a similar structural plan. The analysis of the otolith outline allowed to differentiate the samplings studied pursuant to their typical morphotypes. This analysis has a number of advantages compared to the classical morphological and molecular genetic methods: it is cost effective and allows to quickly obtain primary data for the real time monitoring of population ecology. The otolith shape comparison method could be extensively used for basic research of microevolutionary processes, delimitation of natural populations for the sake of genetic diversity as well as for defining the structure of the commercial stock (Afanasyev et al., 2017).

Acknowledgements

The work was performed within the projects # 0345–2019–0002 and # 0279-2019-0003.

References

Abuanza P., Murta A.G., Campbell N. et al. 2008. Stock identity of horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) in the Northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea: integrating the results from different stock identification approaches. Fisheries Research 89: 196-209. DOI: 10.1016/j.fishres.2007.09.022

Afanasyev P.K., Orlov A.M., Rolsky A.Yu. 2017. Otolith shape analysis as a tool for species identification and studying the population structure of different fish species. Russian Journal of Zoology 96: 192-200. DOI: 10.1134/ S1062359017080027

Anoshko P.N., Telpukhovskiy A.N., Fedorova L.I. et al. 2007. Aberrant otoliths of Baikal grayling. Acta Biomedica Scientifica 2: 10–13. (in Russian)

Ballagh A.C., Begg G.A., Mapleston A. et al. 2006. Growth trends of Queensland east coast Spanish mackerel (*Scomberomorus commerson*) from otolith back-calculations. Marine and Freshwater Research 57: 383-393. DOI: 10.1071/ MF05173

Begg G.A., Overholtz W.J., Munroe N.J. 2001. The use of internal otolith morphometrics for identification of haddock stocks on Georges Bank. Fishery Bulletin 99: 1-14.

Bergenius M.A.J., Begg G.A., Mapstone B.D. 2006. The use of otolith morphology to indicate the stock structure of common coral trout (*Plectropomus leopardus*) on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Fishery Bulletin 104: 498-511.

Bolles K.L., Begg G.A. 2000. Distinction between silver hake (*Merluccius bilinearis*) stocks in U.S. waters of the northwest Atlantic based on whole otolith morphometrics. Fishery Bulletin 98: 451-462.

Cadrin S.X., Friedland K.D. 2005. Morphometric outlines. In: Cadrin S.X., Friedland K.D., Waldman J.R. (Eds.), Stock identification methods: applications in fisheries science. Amsterdam, pp. 173-183.

Campana S.E., Casselman J.L. 1993. Stock discrimination using otolith shape analysis. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 50: 1062-1083. DOI: 10.1139/f93-123

Campana S.E., Gagne J.A., McLaren J.W. 1995. Elemental fingerprinting of fish otoliths using ID-ICPMS. Marine Ecology Progress Series 122: 115-120. DOI: 10.3354/meps122115

Castonguay M., Simard P., Gagnon P. 1991. Usefulness of Fourier analysis of otolith shape for Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*) stock discrimination. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 48: 269-302. DOI: 10.1139/ f91-041

DeVriesa D.A., Grimes C.B., Prager M.H. 2002. Using otolith shape analysis to distinguish eastern Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Ocean stocks of king mackerel. Fisheries Research 57: 51-62. DOI: 10.1016/S0165-7836(01)00332-0

Ding L., Tao J., Ding C. et al. 2019. Hydrogeomorphic factors drive differences in otolith morphology in fish from the Nu-Salween River. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 28: 132-140. DOI: 10.1111/eff.12437

Gauldie R.W. 1993. Polymorphic crystalline structure of fish otoliths. Journal of Morphology 218: 1-28. DOI: 10.1002/jmor.1052180102

Furlani D., Gales R., Pemberton D. 2007. Otoliths of common Australian temperate fish: a photographic guide. Hobart: CSIRO Publishing.

Johnson A.G. 1996. Use of otolith morphology for separation of king mackrel (*Scomberomorus cavalla*) and Spanish mackerel (*Scomberomorus maculates*). Gulf of Mexico Science 1: 1-6. DOI: 10.18785/goms.1401.01

Jonsdottir I.G., Campana S.E., Marteinsdottir G. 2006. Otolith shape and temporal stability of spawning groups of Icelandic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.). ICES Journal of Marine Science 63: 1501-1512. DOI: 10.1016/j.icesjms.2006.05.006

Khrustaleva A.M., Pavlov D.A. 2000. Features of otolith morphology in the young of several fish species from the White Sea. Journal of Ichthyology 40: 655-667.

Libungan L.A., Slotte A., Otis E.O. et al. 2016. Otolith variation in Pacific herring (*Clupea pallasii*) reflects mitogenomic variation rather than the subspecies classification. Polar Biology 39: 1571-1579. DOI: 10.1007/ s00300-015-1882-x

Lychakov D.V., Rebane Y.T. 1992. Effect of otolith shape on directional sound perception in fish. Journal of Evolutionary Biochemistry and Physiology 28: 531-536.

Lychakov D.V., Rebane Y.T. 2000. Otolith regularities. Hearing Research 143: 83-102. DOI: 10.1016/ s0378-5955(00)00026-5

Matveev A.N., Samusyonok V.P., Telpukhovsky A.N. et al. 2005. A new subspecies of the Siberian grayling *Thymallus arcticus baicalolenensis* ssp. nova (Salmoniformes, Thymallidae). Vestnik Buryatskogo Gosudarstvennogo Universiteta Seriya 2. Biologiya [Bulletin of the Buryat State University Series 2. Biology] 7: 69-82. (in Russian)

Pavlov D.A. 2016. Differentiation of three species of the genus *Upeneus* (Mullidae) based on otolith shape analysis. Journal of Ichthyology 56: 37-51. DOI: 10.1134/S0032945216010094

Popper A.N., Ramcharitar J., Campana S.E. 2005. Why otolith? Instings from inner ear physiology and biology. Marine and Freshwater Research 56: 497-504. DOI: 10.1071/MF04267

Prosekin K.A., Prosekina A.A. 2009. To the question on strategy with-existence bajkalolensky and black bajkalsky charius in the conditions of symparion (reserve Dzherginsky). Samarskaya Luka: Problemy Regional'noy i Global'noy Ekologii [Samarskaya Luka: Problems of Regional and Global Ecology 18: 161-164. (in Russian)

Prosekin K.A. 2007. Ecological and biological features of graylings from waterbodies and streams of the upper Barguzin River (Pribaykalye). Cand. Sc. Dissertation, Institute of General and Experimental Biology of the Siberian Branch of the RAS, Ulan-Ude, Russia. (in Russian)

Rooker J.R., Secor D.H., DeMetrio G. et al. 2008. Evidence of trans-Atlantic movement and natal homing of bluefin tuna from stable isotopes in otoliths. Marine Ecology Progress Series 368: 231-239. DOI: 10.3354/meps07602 Skalkin V.A. 1963. Otoliths of some fishes from Far East seas. Proceedings of Pacific Research Institute for Marine Fisheries and Oceanography. 59: 159-200.

Skazkina E.P. 1965. Differences in the otoliths between the Azov Sea and the Black Sea anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicholus maeoticus* Pusanov, *Engraulis encrasicholus ponticus* Aleks.). Journal of Ichthyology 5: 600-605.

Svetocheva O.N., Stasekova N.I., Gosheva T.D. 2002. Otolith morphology of some fishes of the White Sea. Journal of Ichthyology 42: 360-367.

Tyagun M.L., Anoshko P.N., Voronov M.G. 2013. Otolith shape analysis to discriminate among morphoecological groups of Baikal omul (*Coregonus migratorius* Georgi). Advances in Limnology 64: 109-118. DOI: 10.1127/1612-166X/2013/0064-0018

Yukhov V.L. 1971. Structure of the otoliths of the Antarctic and the Patagonian toothfish *Dissostichus mawsoni* Norm. and *D. elegenoides* Smitt of the Southern Ocean. Journal of Ichthyology 11: 587-594.

Zischke M.T., Litherland L., Tilyard B.R. et al. 2016. Otolith morphology of four mackerel species (*Scomberomorus* spp.) in Australia: species differentiation and prediction for fisheries monitoring and assessment. Fisheries Research 176: 39-47. DOI: 10.1016/j.fishres.2015.12.003